HCM UNIVERSITY OF TECHONOLY – VNU-HCMC

FACULTY OF ENVIRONMENT AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING

EVALUATION SHEET OF GRADUATION PROJECT

2. Name of Student:							Stude	nt ID:		
3. Evaluation criteria	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	Stude	III ID	••••••	••
Criteria	Learnin g Outcome	Evaluation (Check "X" in the corresponding box) (2)					Factor (3)	Score (4)=(2)x(3)	Total score (5)	Max score (6)
3.1 Formality		L								_1
- Format was correct. - Number of required drawings was enough, 3 drawings (1 technological scheme, 2 detailed drawings) - Number of pages: 30 − 40 pages - Grammatical errors: ≤ 10%	L.O.3 L.O.6	4	3	2	1	0	0.5			2
3.2. Report contents									-	
 Introduction: Methods related to the project were well summarized. Implementation technologies of the project were well summarized. Number of pages: ≤ 20 % 	L.O.4	4	3	2	1	0	0.25			3
 Technology Selection: Characteristics of waste stream were determined. National technical regulation related to the project was determined. Proposed technology scheme: Diagram, explanation Number of pages: ≤ 10 % 	L.O.4 L.O.5	4	3	2	1	0	0.25			
- Calculation: • Functions of the unit (principle) • Structure • Calculation • Summarization • Number of pages: ≤ 70 %	L.O.1 L.O.2	4	3	2	1	0	0.25			
3.3. Presentation		<u> </u>				l		L	_l	-1
Presenter spoke clearly, effectively. Presenter is politely dressed. Technological scheme is sufficient and detailed.	L.O.6	4	3	2	1	0	0.125 0.125			3
- Presenter obviously understanded detailed drawing	L.O.3	4	3	2	1	0	0.5			
3.4. Ask and Answer		<u></u>				. 		•		
- Presenters answered 80% of the questions correctly	L.O.4	4	3	2	1	0	0.5		ļ	2
General review										10
Rating of committee's member according to the ratin, 4 = Exce Comments, Suggestions:		= V6	ery go	ood;	2 = 0	Good	1 = Fair	; 0 = Poor		